

Serial No.: 10/652,141  
Attorney Docket No.: HURD-P01

**REMARKS**

In Paragraph 3 of the Office Action mailed 26 March 2007, the Examiner noted that Claim 26 "would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims." Claim 26 depends directly upon Claim 12. The Applicant has amended Claim 12 with the limitations of allowable Claim 26. The Applicant has also cancelled Claims 10-11, 13-26, and 33. Applicant believes that Claims 12 and 27-32, which directly or indirectly depend on Claim 12, are allowable.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: 22 MAY 2007

By: 

Jeffrey Schox  
Reg. No. 42,445  
Attorney for the Applicant

Schox, PLC  
209 North Main Street, #200  
Ann Arbor, MI USA 48104  
p: 734.355.0675  
e: Jeff@SchoxPLC.com